



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/414,333	10/07/1999	GEORGE KRAFT IV	AT9-99-288	3165
7:	590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
JOSEPH P LALLY			CALLAHAN, PAUL E	
DEWAN & LALLY LLP P O BOX 684749 AUSTIN, TX 787684749			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	· 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
- Advisory Action	09/414,333	KRAFT	- M
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Callahan	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	5S
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to n places the applicatio	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contro	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropr unt of the fee. The appropi originally set in the final Off	ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>			
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	-	parate, timely filed an	nendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NOT p	place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>		o issues which were n	ewly
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ul>	• • •		d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 4,12 and 20.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-11, 13-19 and 21-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
<ol><li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer</li></ol>	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A revew of the Grube '947 reference shows a GP I/O component in fig. 2 items 117 and 118. Therefore the amendment to the claims where the limitations of a GPS I/O component is added to the independent claims does not overcome the prior art or consequently put the application in a condition for allowance.

Paul Calluhan S-28-04

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100